



PROCEEDINGS REPORT: PACIFIC CLIMATE CHANGE AND FINANCE WORKSHOP 25-26 OCTOBER 2012, APIA SAMOA



Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) under Asia Pacific Adaptation Network (APAN)



Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES) 2108-11 Kamiyamaguchi, Hayama, Kanagawa 240-0115, Japan

Fax: +81 468 553 709 e-mail: iges@iges.or.jp Website: www.iges.or.jp

Tel: +81 468 553 720

Proceedings Report:
Pacific Climate Change and
Finance Workshop,
25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) PO Box 240, Apia, Samoa

Tel: +685 21929 Fax: +685 20231

e-mail: sprep@sprep.org Website: www.sprep.org

Copyright © 2012 IGES, SPREP

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. We would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses such reports as a source.

Although every effort is made to ensure objectivity and balance, the publication of research results or translation does not imply IGES and SPREP's endorsement or acquiescence with its conclusions or the endorsement of IGES and SPREP's financers.

IGES and SPREP maintain a position of neutrality at all times on issues concerning public policy. Hence, conclusions that are reached in IGES and SPREPs publications should be understood to be those of the authors and not attributed to staff members, officers, directors, trustees, funders, or to IGES and SPREP.

Suggested Citation IGES, SPREP. 2012. Proceedings Report: Pacific Climate Change and Finance Workshop, 25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa. Hayama, Japan: IGES.

How to obtain the digital copy: The full report can be electronically downloaded from www.asiapacificadapt.net.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This proceedings report was prepared by the Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), the Asia Pacific Adaption Network (APAN)'s sub-regional node for the Pacific. We would also like to extend our sincere gratitude to the Ministry of Environment, Japan (MoEJ) and Asian Development Bank (ADB) for funding this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

i	Acknowledgment
1	1. INTRODUCTION
1	1.1 Workshop Objectives
2	2. CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCE IN THE PACIFIC
2	2.1 Pacific Island Government Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to Date
5	2.2 CROP Agency Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to date
6	2.3 Donor Perspective – advice on how to fundraise for Climate Change Financing in the Pacific
7	3. PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT TRAINING AND STIMULATION
8	3.1 Problem Tree Exercise
10	3.2 Logical Framework Drafting Exercise
16	3.3 Donor-matching Exercise
17	3.4 M&E Planning Exercise
21	3.5 Pitching to Donor Exercise
22	COMMENTS ON HOW CROP AGENCIES CAN BEST SUPPORT
	PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES IN CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCING
24	WHERE TO FROM HERE IN 2012 AND 2013?
25	ANNEX 1: AGENDA
29	ANNEX 2: LIST OF COUNTRY REPRESENTATIVES AND REGIONAL
	ORGANIZATIONS
	ANNEX 3-10 CAN BE DOWNLOADED FROM HTTP://WWW.
	ASIAPACIFICADAPT.NET/EVENTS/PACIFIC-CLIMATE-CHANGE-
	FINANCING-WORKSHOP

1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents the results of a Workshop on 'Pacific Climate Change and Finance' that was held in Apia, Samoa on 28 and 29 October 2012. The workshop was hosted by the Asia Pacific Adaptation Network (APAN) with co-financing from SPC through their GCCA project. The focus of the workshop was on enhancing countries' capacity to access financial resources for climate This was based on the outcomes of an earlier consultation with Pacific Island Countries held in Apia whereby the countries were asked to prioritise their needs in relation to climate change adaptation. The workshop objectives and agenda were designed based on what countries advised in that consultation.

This report should be read together with an additional document that was developed at the same time as the workshop. The presentations given during the workshop about how to undertake key steps in the proposal development process have been written into a set of guidelines that will be distributed together with these workshop records. They will hereafter be referred to as the SPREP/APAN **Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals**. Copies of the guidelines can be obtained from the SPREP Climate Change Portal (www.pacificclimatechange. net).

Another useful tool that was developed by APAN and SPREP in relation to the workshop is a directory of multi-lateral and bi-lateral donors that fund climate change adaptation work in the Pacific Islands region. Hereafter the directory will be referred to as the **Donor Database**. It is SPREP's intention to continue to update and expand this directory. The directory will be made available in early 2013 at which point it will also be loaded onto the SPREP Climate Change Portal (www.pacificclimatechange.net).

The workshop agenda can be found in Annex 1 and the list of participants can be found in Annex 2.

1.1 Workshop Objectives

The objective of the workshop was to enable Pacific Island country representatives to enhance their skills in the following areas:

- General understanding about specific donors and the type of climate change financing available to the Pacific,
- Individual donor policies and financing criteria,
- Proposal writing and log frame development,
- Monitoring, evaluation, and reporting requirements for donors.

In addition, the workshop will give representatives of donor agencies, CROP agencies and Pacific Island countries the opportunity to network and develop closer working relationships.

2. CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCE IN THE PACIFIC

This session began with a presentation by Coral Pasisi of the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat that provided an overview of the current opportunities and challenges in relation to Pacific Island Countries accessing climate change finance. A copy of the presentation is included in Annex 3.

A presentation was also given by Andrew Kennedy of the Secretariat of the Pacific Environment Programme about funding programmes currently available to Pacific Island Countries for climate change mitigation projects. In addition, he presented a draft of the **Donor Database** (developed by SPREP and APAN). The database is a directory of multi-lateral and bi-lateral donors that fund climate change adaptation work in the Pacific Islands region (refer Section 1). A copy of the presentation is included in Annex 4.

2.1 Pacific Island Government Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to Date

The Pacific Island Country Representatives participants were asked to share the challenges and lessons they have learned to date in relation to climate change financing. The participants discussed in three separate break-out groups then shared their observations as follows:

Group 1 – As Pacific Island Governments, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

Main challenges

- Institutional capacity
- The lengthy process/leveraging different funding mechanisms
- Red tapes
- Absence of information
- Reporting process: lengthy
- Experts in proposal writing
- Lack of flexibility process is restrictive
- Example NC 2 wks to unite and takes 16 months to hear back
- Poor timeframes
- Expensive stakeholders consultation
- Lack of coordination among stakeholders
- Using crops to complement each other work being done in country

Lesson Learnt

- Should have a good plan and policy in place
- · Coordinating with stakeholder- very important
- Centralize data collecting agency
- Ensuring that the partnership with donors continues (dialogue)
- Building trust among stakeholders and donors
- Cross cutting issues
- Capitalise on existing synergies

Group 2 – As Pacific Island Governments, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

Challenges

Prioritising

- Donor country influence on national priority
- Co-financing
- Economic interest vs Environment/CC interest
- · Institutional arrangement

Proposal Writing

- Proposal format/templates
- Lack of national capacity
- · Amount of info required to go into proposal

Lesson Learnt

- Govt/Donor consultations
- Legislate National priorities/plans
- Effective coordination
- Sectoral Working groups
- Good monitoring and evaluation systems in place

Implementation

- Staff turn-over (country and IA/donor)
- · Various FP used by agencies and D
- Lack of human resources to do implementation
- · Coordination nationally

Reporting

- Reporting periods (quarterly)
- Inter-ministry coordination for reporting (and inter-island)
- Reporting templates (changing)

M & E

- M&E indicators vary across agencies and donors
- Lack of standardise M & E framework (at national level)

Sustainability of results

- Staff turnover at end of project o Capacity building is lost
- No ongoing monitoring of implemented projects
- No limited replication of success projects/approaches

Group 3 – As Pacific Island Governments, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

Challenges

- Multitude of donors have own criterias
- Capacity constraint at the national level human, financial
- Having funds sitting outside of Government difficult for accountability
- Operational level funds come into Treasury and internal process is slow thus slow disbursements of funds affect project activity implementation
- Government bureaucracy
- Compatibility of priorities national vs donors
- Consultants that donors send do not follow national priorities
- Representatives of donors do not have same interpretation of their rules
- Donors have own recruitment process send in their own personnel thus local people are not trained, when project ends, they take back the knowledge
- Lack of M&E no feedback on the impact/ benefits of the project at the community level

Lessons learnt

- · Build good relationship with people working in the implementing agencies
- Need to learn to be selective
- Development of proposal should be involved in the project proposal from the beginning including all stakeholders
- Country consultation is most important in the development of any project proposal
- Identifying lead agencies for the implementation of project activities also facilitate mainstreaming
- Feedback from donors constant communication between donor and



Participants undertaking group work during the workshop

2.2 CROP Agency Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to date

The participants that were representing CROP Agencies were asked to undertake the same exercise in the context of their experience as regional inter-governmental agencies in the Pacific seeking climate change financing. They shared their observations as follows:

As Regional Pacific Island Agencies, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

- Crop coordination (applying for funds implementation)
- Sustainability of funds and capacity (limited dedicated technical cap & support)
- Competing priorities (programme/projects)
- Donor driven objectives sometimes (regional specific priorities not reflected in global priorities)
- Effectively monitoring and evaluating regional interventions
- Mainstreaming CC into regional development priorities and vice versa
- Comparative advantage of different agencies
- Defining successful regional interventions that add value to national progress
- Maintaining donor relations

Main Challenges

- Working at National level capacity in-country Focal points: technical, institutional, implementation/absorptive, staffing, sustainability of efforts, mobility, project development
- Coordination between traditional CC focal points and other relevant agencies/ministries Keeping momentum going (contact with PICs)
- Misconceptions regarding CROP competing with nationals to access funds

Working with donors

- · Capacity building to understand and assess proposal objectively and in timely manner
- Fragmentation of donor interests
- Timeframe of resources committed
- Restriction on use of funds
- Use of procurement polices i.e. managing multiple procurement/reporting requirements

Lessons Learnt

- Depend on strong relationship (process) between CROP and PICTs
- Need strong relationship between CROPs Being addressed through joint implementation, working groups etc.) JNAPs
- Dynamic partnership to deliver outcomes appropriate to different agencies
- Forward (WACC) and Informal (DPCC) important dialogue opportunities share challenges/ lessons

2.3 Donor Perspective – advice on how to fundraise for Climate Change Financing in the Pacific

The participants that were representing donors were asked to prepare a list of feedback and advice for country and CROP representatives. They shared the following points:

The Do's and Don'ts of Climate Change proposal writing and maintaining donors relations – Advice from donors

- Open and transparent with problems
- Timely & accurate reporting
 - o Donors have to report as well to continue funding
 - o Report on tangible outcomes beyond activity descriptions
- Project monitoring ongoing
 - o Response with adaptive management
- · Realistic risks assessments and mitigation impact on project delivery

Proposal Writing

- Understand the donor criteria and mandate and program criteria
- Making sure proposal match criteria
- Links to national priorities
- Demonstrate sustainability
- · Capacity building and other co-benefits
- Problem analysis must be clear and sound program logic o Impacts and results
- Show existing capacities and collaboration and commitments
- Consult broadly at country level

Creating, Maintaining relationships

- Make better use of diplomatic crop
- Be proactive in coordinating donors eg Round tables
- Actively engage with donors (many based in suva)
- Invite donors to events and projects
- Frequent communication



John Morely of AusAID presenting the donor group's advice on proposal writing

3. PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT TRAINING AND STIMULATION

The bulk of the workshop was used to take the participants through a simulation of developing a project proposal. Presentations were given on the key steps in developing a proposal. Each presentation was followed by a break-out group exercise in which three separate breakout groups would undertake an exercise whereby they applied the content of the presentation. The breakout groups were able to use the workshop to develop three separate project concepts that were targeted at three different donors.

The presentations on the key steps in developing a proposal were as follows:

- Key steps to developing a project proposal and important considerations
- Problem Analysis (Problem Trees and how to generate objectives from them)
- Matching a project concept to the right donor(s)
- Defining Activities for Objectives
- Defining Indicators and Means of verification for Goal, Objectives and Activities
- Planning for Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting to Donor
- Other important considerations in the project design process

The presentations have been written into a set of guidelines that will be distributed together with these workshop records. They will hereafter be referred to as the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals. Copies of the guidelines can also be obtained from the SPREP Climate Change Portal (www.pacificclimatechange.net/).

Plenary discussions were also held after each presentation. Following are some pertinent points that were made during plenary in relation to the project proposal development process in general:

Comments made by Plenary after presentation about the key components of a project proposal:

- Make sure you have staff capacity to implement (include cost allowance for management)
- Staff capacity building budget for it
- Once you get funding it is advisable to hold an "Inception workshop" with your partners, stakeholders and project team as there is often a significant time- lapse between the submission of the proposal ad its approval.
- Don't let the donors push you into submitting a proposal due to a funding deadline make sure the project is right for your organization (strategically and terms of your time and resources)
- Don't forget to plan for communications work (workplan and budget) donors often require that you make them 'visible' in the tangible results of the project.
- Make sure you use the findings of your monitoring and evaluation work to revise your project plan (log-frame), i.e. practice adaptive management.

o But! It can be hard to get donor approval for plan changes. Build flexibility into your proposal in the first place by writing risks and assumptions into your logframe

- Keep track of financials/acquittals for donor finance reporting
- Don't let donors drive the delivery timetable, ensure that national staff/ processes are in place first.

These comments have also been incorporated into the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals mentioned above.

In addition, two guest speakers gave presentations on additional useful considerations/tools for proposal design, these presentations were:

- A Broader Perspective increasing ecosystem services while adapting to climate change, Tim Carruthers, SPREP
- Cost-Benefit Analysis how it can make your proposal stronger, Aaron Bunkle, SPREP

Copies of these presentations are included in Annex 5 and Annex 6.

3.1 Problem Tree Exercise

After hearing a presentation on how to conduct a problem tree exercise (refer to Section 3.3.4 of **SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals**). The participants worked in three separate breakout groups to develop problem trees. The results of these exercises are presented below.

Please note that due to a recording error, the problems are not arranged into a 'tree' (problems arranged in a hierarchy to indicate how they feed into each other as causes and effects with the primary cause at the bottom and the ultimate effect at the top). Included below is a list of all the problems that the groups identified that were subsequently arranged into trees by each group.

Group question: What are the problems (causes and effects) that Climate Change poses to your

Group 1 results

- Bleach coral
- Low oxygen
- Dead fish/sea cucumber
- Livelihood
- Algal bloom
- Tourism
- Drought
- Land degradation
- Health/Sanitation issues
- Increase water demand
- Dead coral TC weapons
- Food chain
- Increase intensity storm surges, cyclones

- Decrease in food production
- Food security
- Coral to Ocean = Danger fish
- Decreased fish stock
- Negative impacts on livelihoods
- Relocation of people away from home
- Decrease in GDP
- Negative impact on the countrys financial stability
- Damaged Infrastructure (Road, etc)
- Coral erosion
- Sea level rise
- Ocean Acidification
- Increase sea/land/temperature
- Carbon Emissions

Group 2 Results

- Livelihood
- Relocation due to increase cyclones intensity
- Damage of infrastructure from costal erosion at cyclone intensity
- Coastal erosion threatening village
- Sea level rise
- Waterborne disases from above normal rainfall
- Land degradation (Flooding and landslides)
- Increase number of fish fines
- Coral bleaching increase
- Migration patterns change
- Threat to economy development
- Land inundation/ agriculture land settlements
- Sea level rise
- Change in harvest season

- Inability to adapt to changing rainfall patterns (ENSO)
- Food security (Damage to Taro crops)
- More invasive species
- More extreme dry and wet seasons
- Increase intensity of cyclones
- Increase of seas temperature affecting the health of deep and associated ecosystems
- Sedimentation increase from runoff, killing reefs and sea grass beds
- Drought: Negative effect on agri and natural resources causing food security issues
- Increased temperature

Group 3 Results

- Saltwater intrusion increase salinisation; poor crop yield
- Less water availability
- Migration/relocation
- Heat stress, respiratory diseases
- Coastal and inland inundation
- Saltwater intrusion contaminate groundwater quality
- Land issues
- More intense tropical cyclone
- Ocean acidification
- Food security: Agriculture and Marine resources
- Changes in agricultural patterns/ production

- Vanuatu turtles/genetic mutation
- Ciguatera
- Dengue fever outbreak (health risks)
- Land erosion
- Sea level rise causes erosion of low lying coastal areas
- Drought
- Coral bleaching

3.2 Logical Framework Drafting Exercise

After hearing presentations about how to use the results of a problem tree to generate objectives and to develop a logical framework, the three breakout groups selected their own project concepts (based on the results of their problem trees) and were lead through a step-by-step process to draft a project log-frame. The content of the presentations is included in the **SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals** (Section 5.1).

The results of this step-by-step process are included below. Due to time limitations the aim of the exercise was not to develop complete log-frames but rather to develop at least one example of: a goal, a set of objectives, an indicator with its means of verification and some activities.

Group 1 Project Concept: Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystemsythe impacts of climate change

Goal: Maintain food security, coastal protection, biodiversity and community livelihoods supported by Ocean resources

Purpose: To strengthen the resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change

Objectives	Measurable Indictors	Means of Verification	Assumptions/Risks
Objective 1: Increase understanding of the impacts of Ocean acidification on the focus area of the project	Number of scientists working on knowledge base		
Objective 2: Reduce levels of fish poisoning			
Objective 3: Enhance reef resilience			

Objective	Measurable Indictors	Means of Verification	Assumptions/Risks
Objective 4: Enhance % and diversity of live coral cover and fish stocks in Boe by 2014	Establish 1 conservation site (5ha) in Boe by 2014. 50% of coral trees planted are growing by end of 2014. 10% increase in coral and fish species by 2014. 80% increase in fish density by 2014	Records of agreement Government gazette Surveys	Enforcement of conservation agreement Local community support Capacity to monitor coral is available Available stock of coral plants Conditions remain reasonable stable
Objective 5: Maintain/ strengthen coastal protection including through reduced additional stresses activities			

Activities	Time-frame	Lead Agency/ Support Agencies	Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)
Awareness programmes	3 months	CIE (PMU Fisheries	
Identifying and securing site for demonstration conservation area	12 months	Local communities Hospital SPC FFA	
Research species focus (coral/fish/mangroves)	12 months	SPREP	
Establish demonstration conservation/ sustainable development sites	24 months		
Restocking fish species including through FADs	24 months	Fisheries Local communities SPC	FADs (50k) Local labour (25k)

Group 2. Building resilience against climate change induced vector borne diseases.

Objective	Measurable Indictors	Means of Verification	Assumptions/Risks
Objective 4: Enhance % and diversity of live coral cover and fish stocks in Boe by 2014	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Records of agreement Government gazette	Enforcement of conservation agreement
	50% of coral trees planted are growing by end of 2014.	Surveys	Local community support
	10% increase in coral and fish species by 2014.		Capacity to monitor coral is available Available stock of coral
	80% increase in fish density by 2014		plants
			Conditions remain reasonable stable

Activities	Time-frame	Lead Agency/ Support Agencies	Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)
Community consultation, workshops and survey to identify existing knowledge and provide information on vector-borne diseases	Year 1-4	Ministry of Health leads; Supporting Office of Climate Change, Statistics Office; SPREP; WMO; WHO	Enforcement of conservation agreement Local community support Capacity to monitor coral is available Available stock of coral plants Conditions remain reasonable stable
Medical practitioner training	Year 1 and 4	Ministry of Health leads; Supported by: WHO; SPC	
Review health policies and regulations, including existing training requirements and recommend revisions	Year 1-2	Ministry of Health leads; Supported by: Ministry of Justice; Climate Change Office; WHO	

Group 3. Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020.

Goal: Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020

Purpose:: Reduce disease and increase irrigation supply in rural communities

Objectives	Measurable Indictors	Means of Verification	Assumptions/Risks
Objective 1: Improved access to quality freshwater supply in	Installation of rainwater catchments in 20	Monitoring and evaluation reports	Access to rural communities
20 rural households by 2013	rural houses	Household survey report	Space for water tanks
Enhance rainwater harvesting and water storage systems			Capacity is available (transportation/ engineers)
atorage systems			That there be adequate rainfall
			Community cooperation and commitment
			Land tenure
			Land space available
Objective 2: Improved water use efficiency of agriculture			
Objective 3: Enhance irrigation systems			
Objective 4: Effective water resource management	Training on tank maintenance and use Policy on use and management	20 rural household representatives	
Objective 5: Communications	-No of workshops -No of public awareness -No of research	Quarterly PMU team reports TV and paper articles	
	activities -Water management policy -Improved enforcement capacity	Approved water policy by cabinet	

Activities	Time-frame	Lead Agency/ Support Agency	Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)
Stocktaking of existing rainwater harvesting and	3 months	Department of Infrastructure	Water Engineer TA for stocktaking
storage systems		S/A	Local consultant
		Ministry of Agriculture	Laptop stationary communications (media)
		Ministry of Environment	Stakeholders consultation to
		Ministry of Planning and Statistics	present findings
			In-kind contribution
			Transport
			PMU
Stocktaking of existing irrigation systems		Ministry og Agriculture	
		Ministry of Environment/ Infrastructure	
Water audit in		Ministry of Agriculture	
farming property		Ministry of Environment	

3.3 Donor-matching Exercise

Once they has developed their project concept and objectives, participants were asked to use the draft SPREP/APAN Donor Database (refer Section 1 of this report) to select three donors that would be appropriate to target for funding for the project concept.

Group 1: Project Concept: Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change		
Target Donors for your project	Why is this donor a good match for your project	
EU	Based on discussion with the EU representative (present at workshop)	
AusAid	Our project aligns with AusAID objectives and strategies and good donor presence in-country	
Adaptation Fund	Meets general objectives and amounts available to country	

Group 2: Building	Group 2: Building resilience against climate change induced vector borne diseases			
Target Donors for your project	Why is this donor a good match for your project			
Special Climate Fund	Specifically targets health adaptation			
JICA	Health objective to funding and capacity building			
BMZ (Germany)	Objective of managing risks associated with climate change			

Group 3: Improve	Group 3: Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020			
Target Donors Why is this donor a good match for your project for your project				
GEF	Would approach all 4 donors based on comparative advantage. GEF- UNDP is in the region and can help develop proposals			
EU GCCA	Some flexibibility on priorities and procedures (direct budget support)			
ICCAI (AusAID)	ICCAI is in country			
NZ AID	is in country and already deliver assistance against development priorities also their view of cc as cross sectoral issue.			

Initial feedback on the draft database from the participants after this exercise was as follows:

- Overall there was general agreement that the database is a useful resource;
- Useful to have a tool where information on all the donors in summarised in one place;
- The database introduced us to donors we had not heard of before;
- One participant pointed out that while the database may not list something as a priority, when you actually meet with donors themselves they may be more flexible, this is why developing an on-going working relationship with donors is important;
- Found the information about the amount of money available for a given grant as well as whether there are any co financing requirements very useful;
- There were some donors missing i.e. EU non GCCA, also NGOs, Corporations and Philanthropics not included (only bilateral and multilateral donors).

3.4 M&E Planning Exercise

After hearing presentations about how to develop monitoring and evaluation plans based on their project logframes, the three breakout groups were lead through a step-by-step process to draft M&E Plans for their projects. The content of the presentations is included in the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals (Section 5.2).

After the presentation, donors gave valuable advice on M&E planning in the Pacific during the plenary discussion. This advice is included below:

Advice from donors given during plenary about M&E planning:

- Refer to your existing M&E systems, avoid setting up parallel systems unless necessary. E.g. if a M&E system for reporting on your national sustainable development strategy is already in place, use the indicators in that for your project if you can. Cut and paste where possible!
- Negotiate with your donor on their M&E requirements e.g. see if they'll accept the use of your existing systems.
- How much budget should a project allocate to M&E? (donor perspective): this depends on the donor, negotiate this up or down if you feel it's justified. Shouldn't be placing a big burden on your system. Aim to keep it low.
- Maybe pull in an M&E partner e.g. SPC. If there are heavy M&E requirements maybe you should get in a partner in to help with your M&E. Maybe even get extra funding from the donor to do an external review.
- Maybe ask a donor to work with another donor, if an overseas donor doesn't have the system in place in your country to keep an eye on things, ask them to partner with a local donor to do this for them. E.g. AusAID's contribution to the PACC project. Another e.g. of 'delegated cooperation' Germany delivers some of AusAID's aid programme in the Mekong because they have a local presence. IN return AusAID is looking into doing this for Germany in the Pacific.

Notes from Plenary Discussion after Presentation 4.6 - Planning for Monitoring and Evaluation in workshop agenda.

Proposal Development Training and Stimulation Proceedings Report: Pacific Climate Change and Finance Workshop, 25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

The results of the step-by-step M&E Planning exercise undertaken by the three break- out groups are included below. Due to time limitations, the aim of the exercise was not to develop complete M&E Plans but to make start on such an exercise and to complete at least one row for a given indicator.

	People respon- sible for gather- ing the information	Project manager				
climate change	Collec- tion fre- quen- cy	Once			Once	
to the impacts of	Collection time frame	During first 12m's			13m's	
f coral reef ecosystems	Information source for indi- cator (MoV)	MOA between relevant stakeholders		Govt gazette		Surveys
Group 1 Draft Monitoring Plan - Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change	Indicator	Established conserva- tion site (5ha) in Boe by 2014.	50% of coral colony planted are growing by end of 2014	80% increase fish density by 2014	10% increase in coral and fish species by 2014	
Group 1 Draft Monitorir	Goal/Purpose/Objec- tive/Assumption	Objective 4: Enhance % and diversity of live	stocks in Boe by			

Who results will be disseminated to	Community Government of Nauru EU donor
	30k
When	At end of project (24m's)
Lead person	Inde- pendent scientific evaluator
Approach/ Method	Survey of conservation site
Who will be in- volved	Inde- pendent scientific evaluation
Purpose	End of project evaluation – Purpose: To strengthen the resilience of coral reef eco-systems to the impacts of climate change
Evaluation Purpose	24m's

es.
isease
b ec
born
ctor
d ve
duce
e ind
and
e ch
imat
st cl
gain
resilience ag
sillier
g reg
ildin
- Bu
Plan
ring
nito
t Mo
Drafi
p 2
Group

		;	;	;		C	
Goal/Purpose/Ob- jective/ Assumption	Indicator	Information source for indicator	Collection time frame	Collection frequency	People re- sponsible for gath-	Ba Hi	Base- line data
	(Is it "SMART"?)	(Age)	(from when to when will you gather this		ering the informa- tion	Description	Once you have it en- ter:
			information?)			it yet? If not how are you	1. Baseline value
						it? (Who, How, When).	and date of the data.
Healthy communities in the face of climate	Num- ber of	Clinic records;	1 baseline	quarterly updates	Statistics office;	Yes (Ministry of Health; clinics/	300 cases denge and
cnange, bullding resil- ience against climate change included vector	patients diag- nosed:		(reports from 2011 onwards)-		MINIS- try of Health	nospitals; sta- tistics office)	200 cases of malaria in No-
horne diseases.			within the first		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		vember 2011.
	20% commu- nity recognis-	Survey data	3 months				
	es symptoms						
	and how to						
	prevent infec-						
	tion						

	Evaluation Purpose	Who will be involved	Approach/Method	Lead person	When	Re- source needs	Who results will be
							disseminated to
To ens	To ensure the activ-		External evaluation (review	Project	2 nd		Responsible
ities ar	ties are achieving	Health, WHO,	of the project document,	imple-	Year		and associated
the de	sired results	CC office, Statis-	work plan, financial reports,	menter			agencies
		tics office, Min-	publications; interviews)				
		istry of Justice					

Proposal Development Training and Stimulation Proceedings Report: Pacific Climate Change and Finance Workshop, 25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

	Baseline data	Once you have it enter:	1. Baseline value of the data.		2. Date to which the data applies.	0				From jan 2013			
0	Base	Description	Do you have it yet? If not how are you	going to get	it? (Who, How, When).	Don't have	baseline	data WIII get from	household	surveys			
communities by 202	People	responsible for gathering the informa-				Contact person	in Ministry for	Intrastructure. Responsible for	the half yearly	report		Financial Officer will	provide the invoices.
upply in rural	Collec- tion fre- quency					Month-	<u>></u> .	Invoic- ing.)				
y of freshwater su	Collec-	tion time frame	-	(from when to when	you gather this infor- mation?)	Half yearly	report to	align with existing	corporate	reporting processes.			
Group 3 Draft Evaluation Plan - Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020	Infor-	mation source for indicator				Monitor-	ing and	evalu- ation	reports		Household	survey	
	Indicator		(Is it	"SMART"?)		Installation	of rainwater	catchments in		20 rural houses			
Group 3 Draft Evaluation Pl	Goal/Purpose/Objec-	tive/ Assumption				Objective 1:	-	Improved access to quality freshwater sup-	ply in 20 rural house-	holds by 2014			

How results will be disseminated to	Stakeholder consultations mid term and terminal.
Resource	Funds for inde- pendent reviewer
When	June 2013 June 2014
Lead per- son	Inde- pendent reviewer
Approach/ Met hod	Consulta- tions and surveys
Who will be in- volved	All stake- holders
Purpose	Assessment of progress against project mile-stones
Evaluation	Mid term and termi- nal evalua- tion

3.5 Pitching to Donor Exercise

For the final exercise of the workshop, the three break-out groups were instructed to develop their project concepts into pitches targeted at a specific donor. Once they had prepared their pitch, they presented this in plenary to a panel of donor representatives who then gave them feedback. The panel consisted of:

- Annick Villarosa, Head of Sector natural Resources and Environment, Delegation of the European Union for the Pacific;
- John Morley, First Secretary, Environment and Climate Change, Australian High Commission, Suva;
- Roger Duncan, Claimte Change Policy Officer, Environment Division, New Zealand Minostry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Wellington. The pitches were as follows:
- Group 1 Project Concept: Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change. Pitch to the European Union (Annex 7 includes a copy of the presentation that this group gave during their pitch)
- Group 2 Project Concept: Increase climate change resilience to effectively control new outbreak of vector-borne diseases. Pitch to GEF (Annex 8 includes a copy of the presentation that this group gave during their pitch)
- Group 3 Project Concept: Reduced Volume of Freshwater in Rural Communities. Pitch to NZAid (Annex 9 includes a copy of the presentation that this group gave during their pitch)



Jo Pokana of the PNG Government presenting his groups pitch to the donor panel

25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

COMMENTS ON HOW CROP AGENCIES CAN BEST SUPPORT PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES IN CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCING

Based on the content of the project concepts that were generated and in consultation with colleagues from the other CROP Agencies represented at the workshop, Ryan Medrana of PIFS gave a brief presentation for the country representatives about how the CROP agencies can best support them in their Climate Change financing work. Key points that were made during this presentation are included below.

There are three main levels on which countries can engage with the CROP agencies:

- 1. the individual level,
- 2. with regional programmes that CROP agencies implement, and
- 3. the organisational level.

Individual Level

- Day to day delegates already have a lot of contact with the CROP agencies. If there is anything that delegates are thinking of implementing in their country, or there is a technical issue that one wants information on, then get in contact with the CROP agent.
- CROP officials need to know what is happening in countries, i.e. when countries are designing policies or plans, or projects and programmes.
- CROPs are a central focal point for the region if we get a request from a country, we can make links with other research bodies or agencies.
- CROPs play a knowledge-sharing role donors come to CROPs to find out how to engage in countries and find out what countries are doing.
- ${\boldsymbol \cdot}$ Coordination between CROP agencies we can informally refer to other colleagues/agencies.
- Also have more formal mechanisms of communications e.g. Climate Change has a CROP CEO Sub-committee on Climate Change, as well as the Working Arm on Climate Change an official level form of coordination
- There is also a CROP Statement on Climate Change which outlines who is in charge of what, and coordination mechanisms. This provides a guide on the mandate of different agencies.

Regional Programmes

• Can provide support to countries by accessing funding that wouldn't be available to any specific country.

25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

- Donors separate programmes into different buckets of money, sectors, issues or countries, and also have a separate window for regional programmes in these cases we are not competing for funds, but can provide assistance to do things that wouldn't be done as a single country e.g. research, also the Regional Technical Support Mechanism (RTSM) will provide technical assistance to all countries (refer Annex 3 for more information about the RTSM).
- Donors are looking for results in countries. Even with regional programmes they are looking at what is achieved in specific countries. Countries need to be clear about what their national priorities are, and ensure that regional programmes are in line with these national priorities.
- Speak to your donors, if there are regional programmes that are doing what you don't think is useful, then speak to CROPs and also donors.

Organisational Level

CROP agencies exist to serve member countries. Without country support, they will have nothing to do.

Make sure that if you are having issues at the project level, or have good or bad feed back – this should go to your representative of the governing council of these organisations i.e. give feed back on the annual work programmes etc. This feedback should come from your delegate to the annual council.

Also speak to donors, who welcome such feed back from countries and can also provide such feed back at these meetings. But, donors don't want to be the ones providing all the negative feed back, so encourage countries to have strong engagement themselves with their CROP agencies, to ensure that systems and processes work for the countries.

Keep lines of communication open at all levels and at all times.

Ensure that you have consistent engagement at all levels, with all stakeholders. Regional organisations also represent the region in international fora, and to do this, we

need to know what is happening in countries, to be able to represent your interests.

Questions from Plenary

Cook Islands Representative: CROP engagement in the international fora? How does this work when there are differences of opinions between SIDS and larger donor countries for e.g. NZ, Australia and US who are CROP members.

Coral Pasisi (PIFS): This is a delicate question. Difference between political representation and development priorities of the region. We would advocate for key priorities of the region, as per agreed regional statements. We are sensitive

about what we can and can not advocate. In negotiations, we don't negotiate but will advise representatives, from a development perspective. There are no hard and fast rules, but we are very sensitive about this as we would be reprimanded quickly if we over-step by both partners.

WHERE TO FROM HERE IN 2012 AND 2013

The workshop concluded with a brief presentation by Diane McFadzien of SPREP and Puja Sawhney, there main points were as follows:

- This workshop is the result of a partnership between SPREP and APAN.
- The APAN network is under UNEP and was launched in 2009, working with mostly regional organisations from Central Asia to the Pacific to deliver capacity building.
- SPREP and APAN held a consultation workshop in February 2012 that was used to identify the mandate for this workshop.
- Please note that this workshop and partnership is focussed on adaptation SPREP works on mitigation too.
- Knowledge management and setting up national databases were also identified as workshop priorities in the February consultation but this training workshop could not do everything. We have not forgotten that you want this training too.
- This is the first in a series of training workshops APAN are going to deliver in the Pacific so please contact APAN or SPREP if you have specific training requests.

Immediate next steps

- The donor database is still a draft. It will be finalised and put onto the SPREP web portal.
- We are also going to give participants a one-week window to provide feedback on the draft database soon.

Participants were asked to provide written feedback at the end of the workshop, records of which are included in Annex 10.



SPREP Deputy Director Kosi Latu, Puja Sawhney of APAN and Gillian Chambers of SPC giving their opening remarks at the start of the workshop

SPREP

Prayer and Introductions A word from the Donor

Dr Puja Sawhney, APAN Gillian Cambers

A word from SPC

8:30 Welcome and introductions

Nicola Thomson Coral Pasisi, PIFS

Workshop objectives and agenda Participant expectations

9.00 Session 1. Setting the Scene

1.1 Presentation: Climate Change Finance – An Overview

This session will set the scene by describing the building blocks for better access to and management of climate change finance. This will include the role of national policies, plans, expenditure frameworks and institutional structures.

Q&A

9.30 1.2 Interactive session: The Pacific Island Experience of Climate Coral Pasisi, PIFS Change Financing

This session will be used to get a better understanding of the experiences of donors and country representatives to date.

Country representatives will be asked to form two or three break- out groups. Donors will be asked to form their own group.

Groups will be asked to identify challenges and lessons learned to date in working on climate change financing in the Pacific.

Donors will also be asked to prepare a list of advice/feedback for country representatives, i.e. 'the do's and don'ts of climate change proposal writing' as well as 'how to create and maintain donor relations'.

Country representative groups will be asked to share the content of their discussion.

Donor representatives will share their results during Session 2.2

0.30 Morning Tea

10.45 Session 2. Understanding Donors

Andrew Kennedy,

2.1 Presentation: Funding Programmes currently available to Pacific SPREP Island Countries

Presentation will highlight the main multilateral and bilateral partners and programmes and the amount of funds available.

11.15 2.2 Plenary discussion: Feedback on proposals from the Pacific - the do's and don'ts of climate change proposal writing and how best to develop a working relationship with donors

Donors to share the results of their group discussion during Session 1.2 Plenary Q&A

11.45 Session 3: Proposal Conceptualisation

Nicola Thomson

3.1 Presentation: Key steps to developing a proposal and important considerations

Q&A

12.15 3.2 Group Exercise: Problem Analysis

Breakout groups to develop climate change related problem trees for their country context (2 to 3 groups with donor and CROP agency representatives mixed into the groups). This exercise will enable participants to clarify their unique and shared national priorities in relation to Climate Change Adaptation.

25

Proceedings Report: Pacific Climate Change and Finance Workshop 25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

1.00 Lunch

[Organisers will analyse the trees and extract three separate proposal scenarios for break-out groups to develop after lunch – scenarios will include which donor will be targeted, donors represented at the workshop will be prioritised as the target for the exercise]

2.00 **3.3** Presentation: A Broader Perspective – increasing ecosystem services while adapting to climate change

Tim Carruthers, SPREP

2.15 3.4 Plenary: Break-out groups to share their trees, plenary discussion

2.45 Session 4. Proposal and Log frame Development Exercise

Organisers to present the three proposal scenarios and participants to be divided into three groups.

Each group will be assigned a support person (selected from the pool donors and CROP representatives) that will guide them through the step-by-step exercise (this exercise will be slightly different depending on each donor)

Instructions for the proposal development exercise will be given

3.00 4.1 Break-out Groups: Exercise 1 - Translate the problem your proposal seeks to address into a goal and objectives (factoring in donor policies and criteria)

3.30 Afternoon Tea

5.30 Close

3.45 **4.2. Break-out Groups: Exercise 2** – How do the goal and objectives meet donor policies and criteria?

4.15 **4.3 Break-out Groups: Exercise 3** – Define activities and lead agencies for each objective

5.15 Housekeeping
Participants feedback on Day 1

Nicola Thomson

Donors and country representatives will be encouraged to discuss country-specific ideas and opportunities, a space will be set up if donors/country reps wish to hold meetings/discussions.

Day 2: Friday 26 Ocotber 2012

8.30 Housekeeping/Review agenda.

9.00 4.4 Brief progress updates from each break-out group

9.30 **4.5 Break-out Groups: Exercise 4** – Define indicators and means of verification for your goal, objectives and activities

10.15 **4.6 Break-out Groups: Exercise 5** – Planning for monitoring, evaluation and reporting to the donor

11.00 Morning Tea

- 11.15 **4.7 Reality check:** facilitator will lead the break- out groups through a rapid assessment of further considerations and work that will be required before the proposal can be finalised. This will include consideration of:
- Stakeholders that should be involved in design and implementation,
- Scoping studies required,
- Team capacity,
- Risks and Assumptions,
- -Support from CROP Agencies for the proposal development.

12.30 **4.8 Presentation: Cost-Benefit Analysis** – how it can make

Mariana Simoes Aaron Bunkle

your proposal stronger

1.00 Lunch

2.00 Session 5: Pitching to the donor

In this session the participants will pitch their proposals to a 'panel' (representatives of the donors and CROP experts), the panel will then give feedback on the proposal.

Session will begin with the groups being given instruction on how to prepare their pitches.

2.15 **5.1 Break-out Groups**: Preparation of proposal pitch

2.45 **5.2 Plenary:** Pitching to the panel

Participants to present their proposals to panel

Panel and other participants to provide feedback 10 minutes per group and 10 minutes for feedback

3.45 Afternoon tea

4.00 Session 6. Exploring how the CROP agencies can best support Pacific Island Countries with Climate Change Financing

During Sessions 4.3 and 4.7, the break-out groups identified ways in which they saw CROP agencies best supporting the further development and implementation of their proposals. These ideas will be revisited in this session.

Each CROP agency representative will give a brief informal presentation about how their ages representation, picking up on the ideas generated during Sessions 4.3 and 4.7.

Ryan Medrana, PIFS Gillian Cambers, SPC Espen Ronneberg, SPREP

Each representative will talk for 10 minutes and have 5 mins for Q&A.

4.45	Session 5: Where to from here in 2012 ar	nd 2013
5.15	Workshop Evaluation: Revisit the workshop	expectations Nicola Thomson
5.30	Formal Closure	SPREP and APAN

25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

Annex 2: List of Country Representatives and Regional Organizations

Cook Islands

1. Mr. Edward Parker

Budget Analyst

Budget and Planning Division

Ministry of Finance and Economic Management PO Box 120

Rarotonga Cook Islands

Tel: +682 29511 Fax: +682 29652

Email: edward@mfem.gov.ck

2. Mr. Ewan Cameron (self funded) Interim Climate Change Coordinator

Climate Change Cook Islands Tel: +682 724 9535 Fax: +682 Email: ewanrocks6@gmail.com

Federated States of Micronesia

3. Mr. Bradford Mori

Programme Manager

FSM CCT/ Chuuk EPA

PO Box 956

Weno, Chuuk State

Federated States of Micronesia 96942

Tel: +691 330-4158

Email: brad_mori@hotmail.com

4. Mr. Henry Susaia

Environment Specialist

Pohnpei State EPA

Phonpei

Federated States of Micronesia 96941

Tel: +691 320 2208

Email: hsusaia@yahoo.com

Fiji

5. Ms. Alisi Pulini Vosaleva

Climate Change Officer

Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation

Level 1, BLV Complex

87 Queen Elizabeth Drive

PO Box 2220

Suva

Fiji

Tel: +679 330 9645 Fax: +679 330 9644

Email: alisi.pulini@enviornment.gov.fj

Kiribati

6. Ms. Marii Marae

Environment Inspector

Environment and Conservation Division

Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development

PO Box 234

Bikenibeu, Tarawa

Kiribati

Tel: +686 28425 / 28507

Fax: +686 28334

Email: mariim@environment.gov.ki or marii79@yahoo.com

7. Ms. Mikari Ooka

Planning Officer

National Economic Planning Office

Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

Email: mkiriati@gmail.com

Marshall Islands

8. Ms. Jennifer de Brum

Chief of Administration, Finance and Planning

Office of Environment Planning and Policy Coordination (OEPPC) PO Box 97\57

Maiuro

Republic of Marshall Islands

Tel: +692 625-7944

Email: jennifer.debrum@gmail.com

Nauru

9. Ms. Claudette Wharton GCCA Project Officer Department of CIE Republic of Nauru

_ .

Tel: +674 557 3313 ext 303

Email: claude.s.whartong@gmail.com

10. Ms. Erana Aliklik NBSAP Project Officer CIE

Government Office, Yaren District

Republic of Nauru

New Caledonia

11. Ms. Nathalie Baillon

Director

Natural Species Conservatory of New Caledonia (CEN) New Caledonia

Tel: +47 7700 / 90 63 25 Email: dircen@cen.nc

Niue

12. Mr. Poi Kapaga Manager Treasury

Niue

Tel: +683 4047

Email: poi.kapaga@mail.gov.nu

13. Mr. Haden Talagi

Research Development Officer and PACC Coordinator – Niue

Department of Environment

PO Box 80

Fonuakula, Alofi

Niue

Tel: +683 4021 / 4011 Mob: +683 5277

Email: h_talagi@mail.nu

Palau

14. Mr. Ngiratmetuchel Reagan Belechl

Chief Financial Officer

Office of Environmental Response and Coordination

PO Box 6051

Koror

Palau 96940

Tel: +680 448-4411 Fax: +680 488-6919

Email: nrbelechl@gmail.com or oerc2009@gmail.com

15. Mr. Jeff Ngirarsaol

Grant Coordinator

Office of Budget and Grants Oversight Office of the President of Republic of Palau

PO Box 6051

Koror

Palau 96940

Tel: +680 767-9591 Fax: +680 767-8638

Email: purepalau09@gmail.com and ropgrant@palaugov.net

Papua New Guinea

16. Mr. Joe Pokana

Chair – MR V TWG, PNG UNFCC Negotiator and SNC Focal Point

Senior Policy Analyst – MR V/ MR V and National Communication Division

Office of Climate change and Development

1st Floor, Tabari Haus, Tabri Place, Reke St. Boroko CBC

PO Box 4017, Boroko 111, NCD Papua New Guinea

Tel: +675 325 7528 Fax: +675 325 7620

Email: joe.pokana@occd.gov.pg or jnpokana@gmail.com

Solomon Islands

17. Ms. Susan Sulu

Director

Aid Coordination Division

Ministry of Development Planning and Aid Coordination

PO Box G30

Honiara Solomon Islands Tel: +677 38255

Fax: +677 30490

Email: ssulu@planning.gov.sb

25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

Tonga

18. Ms. Luisa Tuiafitu-Malolo

Team Leader

Tonga JNAP Secretariat

Ministry of Lands, Environment, Climate Change and Natural Resources

PO Box 917 Nukualofa

Tonga

Tel: +676 27262 / 25050

Fax: +676 25051

Email: ltuiafitumalolo@gmail.com

19. Ms. Ofa Maási Kaisamy

Technical Officer

Ministry of Lands, Environment and Climate Change

PO Box 917 JNAP Secretariat

Tel: +676 840 5137

Email: okaisamy@gmail.com

Tuvalu

20. Ms. Pepetua Laatasi

Acting Director of Environment Department of Environment Government of

Tuvalu

Private Mail Bag

Funafuti

Tuvalu

Tel: +688 20179

Email: pepetua@gmail.com or platasi@gov.tv

Vanuatu

21. Mr. Brian Phillips

Climate Change Coordinator and Focal Point Vanuatu Meteorology & Geo-hazards

Department PMB 9054

Port Vila Vanuatu

Tel: +678 774-4388 Fax: +678 25745

Email: piccap@vanuatu.com.vu

22. Mr. Sylvain Kalsakau

Acting Head of United Nations Division

Department of Foreign Affairs

PMB 9051 Port Vila

Vanuatu

Tel: +678 22913 / 533-3870

Fax: +678 23142

Email: ksylvain@vanuatu.gov.vu

INTERNATIONAL & REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS: (NO TRAVEL ARRANGMENTS NEEDED)

Australian AID (AusAID)

PO Box 214, Suva, Fiji

Tel: +679 338-8360, Fax: +679 338-2695, Website: www.ausaid.gov.au

23. Mr. John Morley

First Secretary

Environment and Climate Change Email: john.morley@ausaid.gov.au European Union for the Pacific (EU)

24. Ms. Annick Villarosa

Delegation of the European Union for the Pacific Head of Sector National Resources and Environment Suva

Fiji

Tel: +679 331 3633 ext 104

Fax: +679 330 070

Email: annick.villarosa@eeas.europa.eu

Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES)

25. Ms. Puja Sawhney

Coordinator of the Regional Hub for Asia Pacific Climate Change Adaptation Network (APAN) Institute for Global Environmental Strategies

604 SG Tower 6F,

161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3

Rajdamri Road, Patumwan, Bangkok, 10330, Thailand

Tel: +66 (0) 2 651 8797 ext 16 Fax: +66 (0) 2 651 8798 Email: sawahney@iges.or.jp

New Zealand Aid (NZAid)

26. Mr. Roger Duncan

Climate Change Policy Officer

Environment Division

New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Tel: +64 493 8404

Email: roger.duncan@mfat.govt.nz

Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (PIFS)

27. Ms. Coral Pasisi

Regional and International Issues Adviser

Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat

Private Mail Bag

Suva

Fiji

Tel: +679 775 8612

Email: coralp@forumsec.org.fj

28. Mr. Exsley Taloiburi

Climate Change Coordination Officer Economic Infrastructure Adviser Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat

Tel: +679 322 0281

Email: exsleyt@forumsec.org.fj

29. Mr. Ryan Medrana

Climate Change Adviser

Climate Change Financing Project Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat Private Mail

Bag Suva Fiji

Tel: +679 331 2600

Email: ryanm@forumsec.org.fj

Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC)

30. Dr. Gillian Chambers

Project Manager

Global Climate Change Alliance Pacific Islands States

Secretariat of the Pacific Community

3 Luke Street, Nabua

Suva Fiji

Tel: +679 777 7150 Email: gillianc@spc.int

31. Mr. Sanivalati Tubuna

Research and Programme Assistant

Strategic Engagement, Policy and Planning Facility

Secretariat of the Pacific Community

3 Luke Street, Nabua

Suva

Fiii

Tel: +679 337 9438 Email: sanivalati@spc.int

Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)

PO Box 240, Apia, Samoa

Tel: +685 21929 Fax: +685 20241 Website: www.sprep.org

32. Mr. Espen Ronneberg Climate Change Adviser

Email: espenr@sprep.org

33. Ms. Diane McFadzien

Climate Change Adaptation Adviser

Email: dianem@sprep.org

25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

34. Ms. Seema Deo Communications and Outreach Adviser

Email: seemad@sprep.org

35. Mr. Andrew Kennedy

Legal Intern

Email: andrewk@sprep.org

36. Mr. Tim Carruthers Coastal and Marine Adviser Email: timc@sprepl.org

37. Mr. Aaron Buncle

Environmental Resource Economist

Email: aaronb@sprep.org

38. Ms. Tagaloa Cooper

Climate Change Coordination Adviser

Email: tagaloac@sprep.org

39. Ms. Azarel Mariner

Climate Change Technical Assistant

Email: azarelm@sprep.org

40. Ms. Joyce Tulua

Division Assistant/ Secretary to Director of Climate Change

Email: joycet@sprep.org

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

41. Ms. Marta Moneo

Environment, Climate Change and Crisis Prevention and Recovery (UNV) United

Nations Development Programme

Private Mail Bag

Apia

Samoa

Tel: +685 23670 Fax: +685 23555

Email: marta.moneo@undp.org

42. Ms. Mariana Simliles Email: marianas@sprep.org

43. Mr. Gabor Verczi

Email: gabor.vereczi@undp.org

25-26 October 2012, Apia, Samoa

UNESCO

44. Mr. Denis Chang Seng Programme Specialist/Advisor UNESCO

Private Mail Bag

Matautu Uta

Apia

Samoa

Tel: +685 729 50045

Email: d.chang-seng@unesco.org

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

45. Dr. Greg Sherley

Task Manager Biodiversity Conservation United National Environment Programme

Private Mail Bag

Matautu Uta, Apia

Samoa

Tel: +685 27 473 / 23670

Fax: +685 23555

Email: greg.sherley@undp.org

46. Ms. Anouk Mertens

Email: anouk.mertens@undp.org

CONSULTANTS

47. Ms. Nicola Thomson Environmental Consultant Natural Solutions Pacific 30 Service Street, Domain

Suva

Fiji

Mob: +679 992 -3182

Email: Nicola@environmentfiji.com

Asia Pacific Adaptation Network (APAN)
IGES Bangkok Regional Centre
604 SG Tower, 6th floor
161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3,
Ratchadamri Road, Pathumwan,
Bangkok 10330, Thailand
Tel: +66 (0)2 651 8794-99
Fax: +66 (0)2 651 8798
e-mail: info@asiapacificadapt.net
Website: www.asiapacificadapt.net













