PROCEEDINGS REPORT:
PACIFIC CLIMATE CHANGE AND FINANCE WORKSHOP
25-26 OCTOBER 2012, APIA SAMOA
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This proceedings report was prepared by the Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), the Asia Pacific Adaption Network (APAN)'s sub-regional node for the Pacific. We would also like to extend our sincere gratitude to the Ministry of Environment, Japan (MoEJ) and Asian Development Bank (ADB) for funding this report.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Acknowledgment

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Workshop Objectives

2. CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCE IN THE PACIFIC

2.1 Pacific Island Government Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to Date

5.2 CROP Agency Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to date

6.3 Donor Perspective – advice on how to fundraise for Climate Change Financing in the Pacific

3. PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT TRAINING AND STIMULATION

3.1 Problem Tree Exercise

3.2 Logical Framework Drafting Exercise

3.3 Donor-matching Exercise

3.4 M&E Planning Exercise

3.5 Pitching to Donor Exercise

22 COMMENTS ON HOW CROP AGENCIES CAN BEST SUPPORT PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES IN CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCING

24 WHERE TO FROM HERE IN 2012 AND 2013?

25 ANNEX 1: AGENDA

29 ANNEX 2: LIST OF COUNTRY REPRESENTATIVES AND REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

ANNEX 3-10 CAN BE DOWNLOADED FROM HTTP://WWW.ASIAPACIFICADAPT.NET/EVENTS/PACIFIC-CLIMATE-CHANGE-FINANCING-WORKSHOP
1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents the results of a Workshop on 'Pacific Climate Change and Finance' that was held in Apia, Samoa on 28 and 29 October 2012. The workshop was hosted by the Asia Pacific Adaptation Network (APAN) with co-financing from SPC through their GCCA project. The focus of the workshop was on enhancing countries’ capacity to access financial resources for climate change. This was based on the outcomes of an earlier consultation with Pacific Island Countries held in Apia whereby the countries were asked to prioritise their needs in relation to climate change adaptation. The workshop objectives and agenda were designed based on what countries advised in that consultation.

This report should be read together with an additional document that was developed at the same time as the workshop. The presentations given during the workshop about how to undertake key steps in the proposal development process have been written into a set of guidelines that will be distributed together with these workshop records. They will hereafter be referred to as the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals. Copies of the guidelines can be obtained from the SPREP Climate Change Portal (www.pacificclimatechange.net).

Another useful tool that was developed by APAN and SPREP in relation to the workshop is a directory of multi-lateral and bi-lateral donors that fund climate change adaptation work in the Pacific Islands region. Hereafter the directory will be referred to as the Donor Database. It is SPREP’s intention to continue to update and expand this directory. The directory will be made available in early 2013 at which point it will also be loaded onto the SPREP Climate Change Portal (www.pacificclimatechange.net).

The workshop agenda can be found in Annex 1 and the list of participants can be found in Annex 2.

1.1 Workshop Objectives

The objective of the workshop was to enable Pacific Island country representatives to enhance their skills in the following areas:

- General understanding about specific donors and the type of climate change financing available to the Pacific,
- Individual donor policies and financing criteria,
- Proposal writing and log frame development,
- Monitoring, evaluation, and reporting requirements for donors.

In addition, the workshop will give representatives of donor agencies, CROP agencies and Pacific Island countries the opportunity to network and develop closer working relationships.
2. CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCE IN THE PACIFIC

This session began with a presentation by Coral Pasisi of the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat that provided an overview of the current opportunities and challenges in relation to Pacific Island Countries accessing climate change finance. A copy of the presentation is included in Annex 3.

A presentation was also given by Andrew Kennedy of the Secretariat of the Pacific Environment Programme about funding programmes currently available to Pacific Island Countries for climate change mitigation projects. In addition, he presented a draft of the Donor Database (developed by SPREP and APAN). The database is a directory of multi-lateral and bi-lateral donors that fund climate change adaptation work in the Pacific Islands region (refer Section 1). A copy of the presentation is included in Annex 4.

2.1 Pacific Island Government Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to Date

The Pacific Island Country Representatives participants were asked to share the challenges and lessons they have learned to date in relation to climate change financing. The participants discussed in three separate break-out groups then shared their observations as follows:

Group 1 – As Pacific Island Governments, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

Main challenges

- Institutional capacity
- The lengthy process/leveraging different funding mechanisms
- Red tapes
- Absence of information
- Reporting process: lengthy
- Experts in proposal writing
- Lack of flexibility – process is restrictive
- Example NC 2 wks to unite and takes 16 months to hear back
- Poor timeframes
- Expensive stakeholders consultation
- Lack of coordination among stakeholders
- Using crops to complement each other work being done in country

Lesson Learnt

- Should have a good plan and policy in place
- Coordinating with stakeholder- very important
- Centralize data collecting agency
- Ensuring that the partnership with donors continues (dialogue)
- Building trust among stakeholders and donors
- Cross cutting issues
- Capitalise on existing synergies


Group 2 – As Pacific Island Governments, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

Challenges

Prioritising
• Donor country influence on national priority
• Co-financing
• Economic interest vs Environment/CC interest
• Institutional arrangement

Proposal Writing
• Proposal format/templates
• Lack of national capacity
• Amount of info required to go into proposal

Lesson Learnt
• Govt/Donor consultations
• Legislate National priorities/plans
• Effective coordination
• Sectoral Working groups
• Good monitoring and evaluation systems in place

Implementation
• Staff turn-over (country and IA/donor)
• Various FP used by agencies and D
• Lack of human resources to do implementation
• Coordination nationally

Reporting
• Reporting periods (quarterly)
• Inter-ministry coordination for reporting (and inter-island)
• Reporting templates (changing)

M & E
• M&E indicators vary across agencies and donors
• Lack of standardise M & E framework (at national level)

Sustainability of results
• Staff turnover at end of project
  o Capacity building is lost
• No ongoing monitoring of implemented projects
• No limited replication of success projects/approaches
Group 3 – As Pacific Island Governments, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

**Challenges**

- Multitude of donors – have own criterias
- Capacity constraint at the national level – human, financial
- Having funds sitting outside of Government – difficult for accountability
- Operational level – funds come into Treasury and internal process is slow thus slow disbursements of funds – affect project activity implementation
- Government bureaucracy
- Compatibility of priorities – national vs donors
- Consultants that donors send do not follow national priorities
- Representatives of donors do not have same interpretation of their rules
- Donors have own recruitment process – send in their own personnel thus local people are not trained, when project ends, they take back the knowledge
- Lack of M&E – no feedback on the impact/benefits of the project at the community level

**Lessons learnt**

- Build good relationship with people working in the implementing agencies
- Need to learn to be selective
- Development of proposal - should be involved in the project proposal from the beginning including all stakeholders
- Country consultation is most important in the development of any project proposal
- Identifying lead agencies for the implementation of project activities – also facilitate mainstreaming
- Feedback from donors – constant communication between donor and
2.2 CROP Agency Perspective - Challenges and Lessons to date

The participants that were representing CROP Agencies were asked to undertake the same exercise in the context of their experience as regional inter-governmental agencies in the Pacific seeking climate change financing. They shared their observations as follows:

As Regional Pacific Island Agencies, in your experience of seeking climate change funding to date, what have been the main challenges you have faced and lessons you have learned?

- Crop coordination (applying for funds implementation)
- Sustainability of funds and capacity (limited dedicated technical cap & support)
- Competing priorities (programme/projects)
- Donor driven objectives sometimes (regional specific priorities not reflected in global priorities)
- Effectively monitoring and evaluating regional interventions
- Mainstreaming CC into regional development priorities and vice versa
- Comparative advantage of different agencies
- Defining successful regional interventions that add value to national progress
- Maintaining donor relations

Main Challenges

- Working at National level capacity in-country - Focal points: technical, institutional, implementation/absorptive, staffing, sustainability of efforts, mobility, project development
- Coordination between traditional CC focal points and other relevant agencies/ministries - Keeping momentum going (contact with PICs)
- Misconceptions regarding CROP competing with nationals to access funds

Working with donors

- Capacity building to understand and assess proposal objectively and in timely manner
- Fragmentation of donor interests
- Timeframe of resources committed
- Restriction on use of funds
- Use of procurement polices – i.e. managing multiple procurement/reporting requirements

Lessons Learnt

- Depend on strong relationship (process) between CROP and PICTs
- Need strong relationship between CROPs - Being addressed through joint implementation, working groups etc) JNAPs
- Dynamic partnership to deliver outcomes appropriate to different agencies
- Forward (WACC) and Informal (DPCC) important dialogue opportunities share challenges/lessons
The participants that were representing donors were asked to prepare a list of feedback and advice for country and CROP representatives. They shared the following points:

**The Do’s and Don’ts of Climate Change proposal writing and maintaining donors relations – Advice from donors**

- Open and transparent with problems
- Timely & accurate reporting
  - Donors have to report as well to continue funding
  - Report on tangible outcomes beyond activity descriptions
- Project monitoring ongoing
  - Response with adaptive management
- Realistic risks assessments and mitigation impact on project delivery

**Proposal Writing**

- Understand the donor criteria and mandate and program criteria
- Making sure proposal match criteria
- Links to national priorities
- Demonstrate sustainability
- Capacity building and other co-benefits
- Problem analysis must be clear and sound program logic
  - Impacts and results
- Show existing capacities and collaboration and commitments
- Consult broadly at country level

**Creating, Maintaining relationships**

- Make better use of diplomatic crop
- Be proactive in coordinating donors eg Round tables
- Actively engage with donors (many based in Suva)
- Invite donors to events and projects
- Frequent communication

---

John Morely of AusAID presenting the donor group’s advice on proposal writing
3. PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT TRAINING AND STIMULATION

The bulk of the workshop was used to take the participants through a simulation of developing a project proposal. Presentations were given on the key steps in developing a proposal. Each presentation was followed by a break-out group exercise in which three separate breakout groups would undertake an exercise whereby they applied the content of the presentation. The breakout groups were able to use the workshop to develop three separate project concepts that were targeted at three different donors.

The presentations on the key steps in developing a proposal were as follows:

- Key steps to developing a project proposal and important considerations
- Problem Analysis (Problem Trees and how to generate objectives from them)
- Matching a project concept to the right donor(s)
- Defining Activities for Objectives
- Defining Indicators and Means of verification for Goal, Objectives and Activities
- Planning for Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting to Donor
- Other important considerations in the project design process

The presentations have been written into a set of guidelines that will be distributed together with these workshop records. They will hereafter be referred to as the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals. Copies of the guidelines can also be obtained from the SPREP Climate Change Portal (www.pacificclimatechange.net/).

Plenary discussions were also held after each presentation. Following are some pertinent points that were made during plenary in relation to the project proposal development process in general:

**Comments made by Plenary after presentation about the key components of a project proposal:**

- Make sure you have staff capacity to implement (include cost allowance for management)
- Staff capacity building – budget for it
- Once you get funding it is advisable to hold an “Inception workshop” with your partners, stakeholders and project team as there is often a significant time-lapse between the submission of the proposal ad its approval.
- Don’t let the donors push you into submitting a proposal due to a funding deadline – make sure the project is right for your organization (strategically and terms of your time and resources)
- Don’t forget to plan for communications work (workplan and budget) donors often require that you make them ‘visible’ in the tangible results of the project.
- Make sure you use the findings of your monitoring and evaluation work to revise your project plan (log-frame), i.e. practice adaptive management.
  - But! It can be hard to get donor approval for plan changes. Build flexibility into your proposal in the first place by writing risks and assumptions into your logframe
- Keep track of financials/acquittals for donor finance reporting
- Don’t let donors drive the delivery timetable, ensure that national staff/processes are in place first.
These comments have also been incorporated into the **SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals** mentioned above.

In addition, two guest speakers gave presentations on additional useful considerations/tools for proposal design, these presentations were:

- A Broader Perspective – increasing ecosystem services while adapting to climate change, Tim Carruthers, SPREP
- Cost-Benefit Analysis – how it can make your proposal stronger, Aaron Bunkle, SPREP

Copies of these presentations are included in Annex 5 and Annex 6.

### 3.1 Problem Tree Exercise

After hearing a presentation on how to conduct a problem tree exercise (refer to Section 3.3.4 of **SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals**). The participants worked in three separate breakout groups to develop problem trees. The results of these exercises are presented below.

Please note that due to a recording error, the problems are not arranged into a 'tree' (problems arranged in a hierarchy to indicate how they feed into each other as causes and effects with the primary cause at the bottom and the ultimate effect at the top). Included below is a list of all the problems that the groups identified that were subsequently arranged into trees by each group.

**Group question: What are the problems (causes and effects) that Climate Change poses to your**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 results</th>
<th>Group 2 results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Bleach coral</td>
<td>- Decrease in food production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Low oxygen</td>
<td>- Food security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dead fish/sea cucumber</td>
<td>- Coral to Ocean = Danger fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Livelihood</td>
<td>- Decreased fish stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Algal bloom</td>
<td>- Negative impacts on livelihoods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tourism</td>
<td>- Relocation of people away from home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Drought</td>
<td>- Decrease in GDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Land degradation</td>
<td>- Negative impact on the country’s financial stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Health/ Sanitation issues</td>
<td>- Damaged Infrastructure (Road, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increase water demand</td>
<td>- Coral erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dead coral TC weapons</td>
<td>- Sea level rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Food chain</td>
<td>- Ocean Acidification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increase intensity storm surges, cyclones</td>
<td>- Increase sea/land/temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Carbon Emissions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Group 2 Results**

- Livelihood
- Relocation due to increase cyclones intensity
- Damage of infrastructure from costal erosion at cyclone intensity
- Coastal erosion threatening village
- Sea level rise
- Waterborne diseases from above normal rainfall
- Land degradation (Flooding and landslides)
- Increase number of fish fines
- Coral bleaching increase
- Migration patterns change
- Threat to economy development
- Land inundation/ agriculture land settlements
- Sea level rise
- Change in harvest season
- Inability to adapt to changing rainfall patterns (ENSO)
- Food security (Damage to Taro crops)
- More invasive species
- More extreme dry and wet seasons
- Increase intensity of cyclones
- Increase of seas temperature affecting the health of deep and associated ecosystems
- Sedimentation increase from runoff, killing reefs and sea grass beds
- Drought: Negative effect on agri and natural resources causing food security issues
- Increased temperature

**Group 3 Results**

- Saltwater intrusion increase salinisation; poor crop yield
- Less water availability
- Migration/relocation
- Heat stress, respiratory diseases
- Coastal and inland inundation
- Saltwater intrusion contaminate groundwater quality
- Land issues
- More intense tropical cyclone
- Ocean acidification
- Food security: Agriculture and Marine resources
- Changes in agricultural patterns/ production
- Vanuatu turtles/genetic mutation
- Ciguatera
- Dengue fever outbreak (health risks)
- Land erosion
- Sea level rise causes erosion of low lying coastal areas
- Drought
- Coral bleaching
3.2 Logical Framework Drafting Exercise

After hearing presentations about how to use the results of a problem tree to generate objectives and to develop a logical framework, the three breakout groups selected their own project concepts (based on the results of their problem trees) and were lead through a step-by-step process to draft a project log-frame. The content of the presentations is included in the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals (Section 5.1).

The results of this step-by-step process are included below. Due to time limitations the aim of the exercise was not to develop complete log-frames but rather to develop at least one example of: a goal, a set of objectives, an indicator with its means of verification and some activities.

**Group 1 Project Concept: Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change**

**Goal:** Maintain food security, coastal protection, biodiversity and community livelihoods supported by Ocean resources

**Purpose:** To strengthen the resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Measurable Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions/Risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1: Increase understanding of the impacts of Ocean acidification on the focus area of the project</td>
<td>Number of scientists working on knowledge base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 2: Reduce levels of fish poisoning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 3: Enhance reef resilience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Measurable Indicators</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Assumptions/Risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Objective 4: Enhance % and diversity of live coral cover and fish stocks in Boe by 2014 | Establish 1 conservation site (5ha) in Boe by 2014.  
50% of coral trees planted are growing by end of 2014.  
10% increase in coral and fish species by 2014.  
80% increase in fish density by 2014 | Records of agreement  
Government gazette  
Surveys | Enforcement of conservation agreement  
Local community support  
Capacity to monitor coral is available  
Available stock of coral plants  
Conditions remain reasonable stable |

| Objective 5: Maintain/ strengthen coastal protection including through reduced additional stresses activities |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
<th>Lead Agency/ Support Agencies</th>
<th>Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness programmes</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>CIE (PMU Fisheries Local communities Hospital SPC FFA SPREP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying and securing site for demonstration conservation area</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Fisheries Local communities Hospital SPC FFA SPREP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research species focus (coral/fish/mangroves)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Fisheries Local communities Hospital SPC FFA SPREP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish demonstration conservation/ sustainable development sites</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>Fisheries Local communities SPC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restocking fish species including through FADs</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>Fisheries Local communities SPC</td>
<td>FADs (50k) Local labour (25k)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
<th>Lead Agency/ Support Agencies</th>
<th>Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planting coral Trucks/carry tanks (50k)</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>CIE Fisheries, Local communities, SPREP, SPC</td>
<td>Extraction bars (2k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local labour (30k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting mangroves</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>CIE, Local communities, SPREP</td>
<td>Transport (10k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local labour (30k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase or establish number of coastal marine protected/ sustainably managed areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Group 2. Building resilience against climate change induced vector borne diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measurable Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions/Risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Objective 4: Enhance % and diversity of live coral cover and fish stocks in Boe by 2014 | Establish 1 conservation site (5ha) in Boe by 2014.  
50% of coral trees planted are growing by end of 2014.  
10% increase in coral and fish species by 2014.  
80% increase in fish density by 2014 | Records of agreement  
Government gazette  
Surveys | Enforcement of conservation agreement  
Local community support  
Capacity to monitor coral is available  
Available stock of coral plants  
Conditions remain reasonable stable |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
<th>Lead Agency/Support Agencies</th>
<th>Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community consultation, workshops and survey to identify existing knowledge and provide information on vector-borne diseases</td>
<td>Year 1-4</td>
<td>Ministry of Health leads; Supporting Office of Climate Change, Statistics Office; SPREP; WMO; WHO</td>
<td>Enforcement of conservation agreement&lt;br&gt;Local community support&lt;br&gt;Capacity to monitor coral is available&lt;br&gt;Available stock of coral plants&lt;br&gt;Conditions remain reasonable stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical practitioner training</td>
<td>Year 1 and 4</td>
<td>Ministry of Health leads; Supported by: WHO; SPC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review health policies and regulations, including existing training requirements and recommend revisions</td>
<td>Year 1-2</td>
<td>Ministry of Health leads; Supported by: Ministry of Justice; Climate Change Office; WHO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal: Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020

Purpose: Reduce disease and increase irrigation supply in rural communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Measurable Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions/Risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1: Improved access to quality freshwater supply in 20 rural households by 2013</td>
<td>Installation of rainwater catchments in 20 rural houses</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation reports&lt;br&gt;Household survey report</td>
<td>Access to rural communities&lt;br&gt;Space for water tanks&lt;br&gt;Capacity is available (transportation/engineers)&lt;br&gt;That there be adequate rainfall&lt;br&gt;Community cooperation and commitment&lt;br&gt;Land tenure&lt;br&gt;Land space available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Enhance rainwater harvesting and water storage systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Measurable Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions/Risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 2: Improved water use efficiency of agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 3: Enhance irrigation systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 4: Effective water resource management</td>
<td>Training on tank maintenance and use&lt;br&gt;Policy on use and management</td>
<td>20 rural household representatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 5: Communications</td>
<td>-No of workshops&lt;br&gt;-No of public awareness&lt;br&gt;-No of research activities&lt;br&gt;-Water management policy&lt;br&gt;-Improved enforcement capacity</td>
<td>Quarterly PMU team reports&lt;br&gt;TV and paper articles&lt;br&gt;Approved water policy by cabinet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities</td>
<td>Time-frame</td>
<td>Lead Agency/ Support Agency</td>
<td>Resources required (equipment, HR, funds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocktaking of existing rainwater harvesting and storage systems</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Department of Infrastructure S/A Ministry of Agriculture Ministry of Environment Ministry of Planning and Statistics</td>
<td>Water Engineer TA for stocktaking Local consultant Laptop stationary communications (media) Stakeholders consultation to present findings In-kind contribution Transport PMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocktaking of existing irrigation systems</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture Ministry of Environment/ Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water audit in farming property</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture Ministry of Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Donor-matching Exercise

Once they has developed their project concept and objectives, participants were asked to use the draft SPREP/APAN Donor Database (refer Section 1 of this report) to select three donors that would be appropriate to target for funding for the project concept.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Donors for your project</th>
<th>Why is this donor a good match for your project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>Based on discussion with the EU representative (present at workshop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AusAid</td>
<td>Our project aligns with AusAID objectives and strategies and good donor presence in-country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation Fund</td>
<td>Meets general objectives and amounts available to country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 2: Building resilience against climate change induced vector borne diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Donors for your project</th>
<th>Why is this donor a good match for your project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Climate Fund</td>
<td>Specifically targets health adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JICA</td>
<td>Health objective to funding and capacity building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMZ (Germany)</td>
<td>Objective of managing risks associated with climate change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 3: Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Donors for your project</th>
<th>Why is this donor a good match for your project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>Would approach all 4 donors based on comparative advantage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GEF- UNDP is in the region and can help develop proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU GCCA</td>
<td>Some flexibility on priorities and procedures (direct budget support)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCAI (AusAID)</td>
<td>ICCAI is in country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ AID</td>
<td>is in country and already deliver assistance against development priorities also their view of cc as cross sectoral issue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial feedback on the draft database from the participants after this exercise was as follows:

- Overall there was general agreement that the database is a useful resource;
- Useful to have a tool where information on all the donors in summarised in one place;
- The database introduced us to donors we had not heard of before;
- One participant pointed out that while the database may not list something as a priority, when you actually meet with donors themselves they may be more flexible, this is why developing an on-going working relationship with donors is important;
- Found the information about the amount of money available for a given grant as well as whether there are any co financing requirements very useful;
- There were some donors missing – i.e. EU non GCCA, also NGOs, Corporations and Philanthropics not included (only bilateral and multilateral donors).

3.4 M&E Planning Exercise

After hearing presentations about how to develop monitoring and evaluation plans based on their project logframes, the three breakout groups were lead through a step-by-step process to draft M&E Plans for their projects. The content of the presentations is included in the SPREP/APAN Guidelines for Developing Project Proposals (Section 5.2).

After the presentation, donors gave valuable advice on M&E planning in the Pacific during the plenary discussion. This advice is included below:

Advice from donors given during plenary about M&E planning:

- Refer to your existing M&E systems, avoid setting up parallel systems unless necessary. E.g. if a M&E system for reporting on your national sustainable development strategy is already in place, use the indicators in that for your project if you can. Cut and paste where possible!

- Negotiate with your donor on their M&E requirements e.g. see if they’ll accept the use of your existing systems.

- How much budget should a project allocate to M&E? (donor perspective): this depends on the donor, negotiate this up or down if you feel it’s justified. Shouldn’t be placing a big burden on your system. Aim to keep it low.

- Maybe pull in an M&E partner e.g. SPC. If there are heavy M&E requirements maybe you should get in a partner in to help with your M&E. Maybe even get extra funding from the donor to do an external review.

- Maybe ask a donor to work with another donor, if an overseas donor doesn’t have the system in place in your country to keep an eye on things, ask them to partner with a local donor to do this for them. E.g. AusAID’s contribution to the PACC project. Another e.g. of ‘delegated cooperation’ Germany delivers some of AusAID’s aid programme in the Mekong because they have a local presence. IN return AusAID is looking into doing this for Germany in the Pacific.

Notes from Plenary Discussion after Presentation 4.6 - Planning for Monitoring and Evaluation in workshop agenda.
The results of the step-by-step M&E Planning exercise undertaken by the three break-out groups are included below. Due to time limitations, the aim of the exercise was not to develop complete M&E Plans but to make start on such an exercise and to complete at least one row for a given indicator.

### Group 1 Draft Monitoring Plan - Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal/Purpose/Objective/Assumption</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Information source for indicator (MoV)</th>
<th>Collection time frame</th>
<th>Collection frequency</th>
<th>People responsible for gathering the information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance % and diversity of live</td>
<td>Established conservation site (Sha) in Boe by 2014.</td>
<td>MOA between relevant stakeholders</td>
<td>During first 12m’s</td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>Project manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coral cover and fish stocks in Boe by 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of coral colony planted are growing by end of 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% increase fish density by 2014</td>
<td>Govt gazette</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% increase in coral and fish species by 2014</td>
<td>Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Who will be involved</th>
<th>Approach/Method</th>
<th>Lead person</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Who results will be disseminated to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24m’s</td>
<td>End of project evaluation – <strong>Purpose:</strong> To strengthen the resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change</td>
<td>Independent scientific evaluation</td>
<td>Survey of conservation site</td>
<td>Independent scientific evaluator</td>
<td>At end of project (24m’s)</td>
<td>30k Community, Government of Nauru, EU donor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal/Purpose/Objective/Assumption</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicator</strong></td>
<td><strong>Information source for indicator (MoV)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Collection time frame</strong></td>
<td><strong>Collection frequency</strong></td>
<td><strong>People responsible for gathering the information</strong></td>
<td><strong>Baseline data</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy communities in the face of climate change, building resilience against climate change induced vector borne diseases.</td>
<td>Number of patients diagnosed; 20% community recognise symptoms and how to prevent infection</td>
<td>Clinic records; Survey data</td>
<td>1 baseline (reports from 2011 onwards)- within the first 3 months</td>
<td>quarterly updates</td>
<td>Statistics office; Ministry of Health</td>
<td>Yes (Ministry of Health; clinics/hospitals; Statistics office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300 cases dengue and 200 cases of malaria in November 2011.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Who will be involved</th>
<th>Approach/Method</th>
<th>Lead person</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Re-resource needs</th>
<th>Who results will be disseminated to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid term</td>
<td>To ensure the activities are achieving the desired results</td>
<td>Ministry of Health, WHO, CC office, Statistics office, Ministry of Justice</td>
<td>External evaluation (review of the project document, work plan, financial reports, publications; interviews)</td>
<td>Project implementer</td>
<td>2nd Year</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>Responsible and associated agencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Group 3 Draft Evaluation Plan - Improved quality and quantity of freshwater supply in rural communities by 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal/Purpose/Objective/Assumption</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Information source for indicator (MoV)</th>
<th>Collection time frame</th>
<th>Collection frequency</th>
<th>People responsible for gathering the information</th>
<th>Baseline data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1:</td>
<td>Installation of rainwater catchments in 20 rural households by 2014</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation reports</td>
<td>Half yearly report to align with existing corporate reporting processes.</td>
<td>Monthly invoicing.</td>
<td>Contact person in Ministry for Infrastructure. Responsible for the half yearly report.</td>
<td>Don’t have baseline data will get from household surveys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Once you have it enter:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you have it yet? If not how are you going to get it? (Who, How, When).</td>
<td>1. Baseline value of the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Date to which the data applies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Who will be involved</th>
<th>Approach/Method</th>
<th>Lead person</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Resource needs</th>
<th>How results will be disseminated to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid term and terminal evaluation</td>
<td>Assessment of progress against project milestones</td>
<td>All stakeholders</td>
<td>Consultations and surveys</td>
<td>Independent reviewer</td>
<td>June 2013</td>
<td>Funds for independent reviewer</td>
<td>Stakeholder consultations mid term and terminal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Objective 1:
Improved access to quality freshwater supply in 20 rural households by 2014

### Evaluation Details:
- **Description:**
  - Do you have it yet? If not how are you going to get it? (Who, How, When).  
  - 1. Baseline value of the data.  
  - 2. Date to which the data applies.

- **Baseline Data:**
  - Don’t have baseline data will get from household surveys.
  - From Jan 2013.
3.5 Pitching to Donor Exercise

For the final exercise of the workshop, the three break-out groups were instructed to develop their project concepts into pitches targeted at a specific donor. Once they had prepared their pitch, they presented this in plenary to a panel of donor representatives who then gave them feedback. The panel consisted of:

- Annick Villarosa, Head of Sector natural Resources and Environment, Delegation of the European Union for the Pacific;

- John Morley, First Secretary, Environment and Climate Change, Australian High Commission, Suva;

- Roger Duncan, Climate Change Policy Officer, Environment Division, New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Wellington. The pitches were as follows:
  - Group 1 Project Concept: Strengthen resilience of coral reef ecosystems to the impacts of climate change. Pitch to the European Union (Annex 7 includes a copy of the presentation that this group gave during their pitch)

  - Group 2 Project Concept: Increase climate change resilience to effectively control new outbreak of vector-borne diseases. Pitch to GEF (Annex 8 includes a copy of the presentation that this group gave during their pitch)

  - Group 3 Project Concept: Reduced Volume of Freshwater in Rural Communities. Pitch to NZAid (Annex 9 includes a copy of the presentation that this group gave during their pitch)

Jo Pokana of the PNG Government presenting his group's pitch to the donor panel
COMMENTS ON HOW CROP AGENCIES CAN BEST SUPPORT PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES IN CLIMATE CHANGE FINANCING

Based on the content of the project concepts that were generated and in consultation with colleagues from the other CROP Agencies represented at the workshop, Ryan Medrana of PIFS gave a brief presentation for the country representatives about how the CROP agencies can best support them in their Climate Change financing work. Key points that were made during this presentation are included below.

There are three main levels on which countries can engage with the CROP agencies:

1. the individual level,
2. with regional programmes that CROP agencies implement, and
3. the organisational level.

Individual Level

- Day to day – delegates already have a lot of contact with the CROP agencies. If there is anything that delegates are thinking of implementing in their country, or there is a technical issue that one wants information on, then get in contact with the CROP agent.

- CROP officials need to know what is happening in countries, i.e. when countries are designing policies or plans, or projects and programmes.

- CROPs are a central focal point for the region – if we get a request from a country, we can make links with other research bodies or agencies.

- CROPs play a knowledge-sharing role – donors come to CROPs to find out how to engage in countries and find out what countries are doing.

- Coordination between CROP agencies – we can informally refer to other colleagues/agencies.

- Also have more formal mechanisms of communications – e.g. Climate Change has a CROP CEO Sub-committee on Climate Change, as well as the Working Arm on Climate Change – an official level form of coordination.

- There is also a CROP Statement on Climate Change which outlines who is in charge of what, and coordination mechanisms. This provides a guide on the mandate of different agencies.

Regional Programmes

- Can provide support to countries by accessing funding that wouldn’t be available to any specific country.
• Donors separate programmes into different buckets of money, sectors, issues or countries, and also have a separate window for regional programmes – in these cases we are not competing for funds, but can provide assistance to do things that wouldn’t be done as a single country – e.g. research, also the Regional Technical Support Mechanism (RTSM) will provide technical assistance to all countries (refer Annex 3 for more information about the RTSM).

• Donors are looking for results in countries. Even with regional programmes they are looking at what is achieved in specific countries. Countries need to be clear about what their national priorities are, and ensure that regional programmes are in line with these national priorities.

• Speak to your donors, if there are regional programmes that are doing what you don’t think is useful, then speak to CROPs and also donors.

**Organisational Level**

CROP agencies exist to serve member countries. Without country support, they will have nothing to do.

Make sure that if you are having issues at the project level, or have good or bad feedback – this should go to your representative of the governing council of these organisations i.e. give feedback on the annual work programmes etc. This feedback should come from your delegate to the annual council.

Also speak to donors, who welcome such feedback from countries and can also provide such feedback at these meetings. But, donors don’t want to be the ones providing all the negative feedback, so encourage countries to have strong engagement themselves with their CROP agencies, to ensure that systems and processes work for the countries.

Keep lines of communication open at all levels and at all times. Ensure that you have consistent engagement at all levels, with all stakeholders. Regional organisations also represent the region in international fora, and to do this, we need to know what is happening in countries, to be able to represent your interests.

**Questions from Plenary**

Cook Islands Representative: CROP engagement in the international fora? How does this work when there are differences of opinions between SIDS and larger donor countries for e.g. NZ, Australia and US who are CROP members.

Coral Pasisi (PIFS): This is a delicate question. Difference between political representation and development priorities of the region. We would advocate for key priorities of the region, as per agreed regional statements. We are sensitive
about what we can and can not advocate. In negotiations, we don’t negotiate but will advise representatives, from a development perspective. There are no hard and fast rules, but we are very sensitive about this as we would be reprimanded quickly if we over-step by both partners.

WHERE TO FROM HERE IN 2012 AND 2013

The workshop concluded with a brief presentation by Diane McFadzien of SPREP and Puja Sawhney, their main points were as follows:

- This workshop is the result of a partnership between SPREP and APAN.
- The APAN network is under UNEP and was launched in 2009, working with mostly regional organisations from Central Asia to the Pacific to deliver capacity building.
- SPREP and APAN held a consultation workshop in February 2012 that was used to identify the mandate for this workshop.
- Please note that this workshop and partnership is focussed on adaptation – SPREP works on mitigation too.
- Knowledge management and setting up national databases were also identified as workshop priorities in the February consultation but this training workshop could not do everything. We have not forgotten that you want this training too.
- This is the first in a series of training workshops APAN are going to deliver in the Pacific – so please contact APAN or SPREP if you have specific training requests.

Immediate next steps

- The donor database is still a draft. It will be finalised and put onto the SPREP web portal.
- We are also going to give participants a one-week window to provide feedback on the draft database soon.

Participants were asked to provide written feedback at the end of the workshop, records of which are included in Annex 10.
### Annex 1: Agenda

#### Day 1: Thursday 25 October 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>Welcome and introductions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prayer and Introductions A word from the Donor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A word from SPC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop objectives and agenda Participant expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td><strong>Session 1. Setting the Scene</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1.1 Presentation: Climate Change Finance – An Overview</strong></td>
<td>Coral Pasisi, PIFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This session will set the scene by describing the building blocks for better access to and management of climate change finance. This will include the role of national policies, plans, expenditure frameworks and institutional structures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.30</td>
<td><strong>1.2 Interactive session: The Pacific Island Experience of Climate Change Financing</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This session will be used to get a better understanding of the experiences of donors and country representatives to date. Country representatives will be asked to form two or three breakout groups. Donors will be asked to form their own group. Groups will be asked to identify challenges and lessons learned to date in working on climate change financing in the Pacific. Donors will also be asked to prepare a list of advice/feedback for country representatives, i.e. ‘the do’s and don’ts of climate change proposal writing’ as well as ‘how to create and maintain donor relations.’ Country representative groups will be asked to share the content of their discussion. Donor representatives will share their results during Session 2.2</td>
<td>Coral Pasisi, PIFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Morning Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.45</td>
<td><strong>Session 2. Understanding Donors</strong></td>
<td>Andrew Kennedy, SPREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2.1 Presentation: Funding Programmes currently available to Pacific Island Countries</strong></td>
<td>Andrew Kennedy, SPREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation will highlight the main multilateral and bilateral partners and programmes and the amount of funds available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.15</td>
<td><strong>2.2 Plenary discussion: Feedback on proposals from the Pacific - the do’s and don’ts of climate change proposal writing and how best to develop a working relationship with donors</strong></td>
<td>Andrew Kennedy, SPREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donors to share the results of their group discussion during Session 1.2</td>
<td>Andrew Kennedy, SPREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary Q&amp;A</td>
<td>Andrew Kennedy, SPREP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.45</td>
<td><strong>Session 3: Proposal Conceptualisation</strong></td>
<td>Nicola Thomson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.1 Presentation: Key steps to developing a proposal and important considerations</strong></td>
<td>Nicola Thomson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.15</td>
<td><strong>3.2 Group Exercise: Problem Analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breakout groups to develop climate change related problem trees for their country context (2 to 3 groups with donor and CROP agency representatives mixed into the groups). This exercise will enable participants to clarify their unique and shared national priorities in relation to Climate Change Adaptation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Organisers will analyse the trees and extract three separate proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>scenarios for break-out groups to develop after lunch – scenarios</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>will include which donor will be targeted, donors represented at the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>workshop will be prioritised as the target for the exercise]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>**3.3 Presentation: A Broader Perspective – increasing ecosystem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>services while adapting to climate change**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tim Carruthers, SPREP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>**3.4 Plenary: Break-out groups to share their trees, plenary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>discussion**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.45</td>
<td><strong>Session 4. Proposal and Log frame Development Exercise</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organisers to present the three proposal scenarios and participants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to be divided into three groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Each group will be assigned a support person (selected from the pool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>donors and CROP representatives) that will guide them through the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>step-by-step exercise (this exercise will be slightly different</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>depending on each donor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instructions for the proposal development exercise will be given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>**4.1 Break-out Groups: Exercise 1 - Translate the problem your</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>proposal seeks to address into a goal and objectives (factoring in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>donor policies and criteria)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>Afternoon Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>**4.2. Break-out Groups: Exercise 2 – How do the goal and objectives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>meet donor policies and criteria?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>**4.3 Break-out Groups: Exercise 3 – Define activities and lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agencies for each objective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>Housekeeping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participants feedback on Day 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Donors and country representatives will be encouraged to discuss country-specific ideas and opportunities, a space will be set up if donors/country reps wish to hold meetings/discussions.
Day 2: Friday 26 October 2012

8.30 Housekeeping/Review agenda.

9.00  **4.4 Brief progress updates from each break-out group**

9.30  **4.5 Break-out Groups: Exercise 4** – Define indicators and means of verification for your goal, objectives and activities

10.15 **4.6 Break-out Groups: Exercise 5** – Planning for monitoring, evaluation and reporting to the donor

11.00 Morning Tea

11.15 **4.7 Reality check:** facilitator will lead the break-out groups through a rapid assessment of further considerations and work that will be required before the proposal can be finalised. This will include consideration of:
- Stakeholders that should be involved in design and implementation,
- Scoping studies required,
- Team capacity,
- Risks and Assumptions,
- Support from CROP Agencies for the proposal development.

12.30 **4.8 Presentation: Cost-Benefit Analysis** – how it can make your proposal stronger

1.00 Lunch

2.00 **Session 5: Pitching to the donor**

   In this session the participants will pitch their proposals to a ‘panel’ (representatives of the donors and CROP experts), the panel will then give feedback on the proposal.

   Session will begin with the groups being given instruction on how to prepare their pitches.

2.15 **5.1 Break-out Groups:** Preparation of proposal pitch

2.45 **5.2 Plenary:** Pitching to the panel

   Participants to present their proposals to panel

   Panel and other participants to provide feedback

   10 minutes per group and 10 minutes for feedback

3.45 Afternoon tea
### 4.00 Session 6. Exploring how the CROP agencies can best support Pacific Island Countries with Climate Change Financing

During Sessions 4.3 and 4.7, the break-out groups identified ways in which they saw CROP agencies best supporting the further development and implementation of their proposals. These ideas will be revisited in this session.

Each CROP agency representative will give a brief informal presentation about how their agency can best help, picking up on the ideas generated during Sessions 4.3 and 4.7.

Each representative will talk for 10 minutes and have 5 mins for Q&A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>Session 5: Where to from here in 2012 and 2013</td>
<td>Workshop Evaluation: Revisit the workshop expectations</td>
<td>Nicola Thomson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5.15  |  |  | Ryan Medrana, PIFS  
Gillian Cambers, SPC  
Espen Ronneberg, SPREP |
| 5.30  | Formal Closure |  | SPREP and APAN |
Annex 2: List of Country Representatives and Regional Organizations

Cook Islands

1. Mr. Edward Parker
   Budget Analyst
   Budget and Planning Division
   Ministry of Finance and Economic Management PO Box 120
   Rarotonga Cook Islands
   Tel: +682 29511
   Fax: +682 29652
   Email: edward@mfem.gov.ck

2. Mr. Ewan Cameron (self funded) Interim Climate Change Coordinator
   Climate Change Cook Islands
   Tel: +682 724 9535 Fax: +682
   Email: ewanrocks6@gmail.com

Federated States of Micronesia

3. Mr. Bradford Mori
   Programme Manager
   FSM CCT/ Chuuk EPA
   PO Box 956
   Weno, Chuuk State
   Federated States of Micronesia 96942
   Tel: +691 330-4158
   Email: brad_mori@hotmail.com

4. Mr. Henry Susaia
   Environment Specialist
   Pohnpei State EPA
   Pohnpei
   Federated States of Micronesia 96941
   Tel: +691 320 2208
   Email: h.susaia@yahoo.com

Fiji

5. Ms. Alisi Pulini Vosaleva
   Climate Change Officer
   Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation
   Level 1, BLV Complex
   87 Queen Elizabeth Drive
   PO Box 2220
   Suva
   Fiji
   Tel: +679 330 9645
   Fax: +679 330 9644
   Email: alisi.pulini@enviornment.gov.fj
Kiribati
6. Ms. Marii Marae  
Environment Inspector  
Environment and Conservation Division  
Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development  
PO Box 234  
Bikenibeu, Tarawa  
Kiribati  
Tel: +686 28425 / 28507  
Fax: +686 28334  
Email: mariim@environment.gov.ki or marii79@yahoo.com

7. Ms. Mikari Ooka  
Planning Officer  
National Economic Planning Office  
Ministry of Finance and Economic Development  
Email: mkiriati@gmail.com

Marshall Islands
8. Ms. Jennifer de Brum  
Chief of Administration, Finance and Planning  
Office of Environment Planning and Policy Coordination (OEPPC) PO Box 97\57  
Majuro  
Republic of Marshall Islands  
Tel: +692 625-7944  
Email: jennifer.debrum@gmail.com

Nauru
9. Ms. Claudette Wharton GCCA Project Officer  
Department of CIE Republic of Nauru  
Tel: +674 557 3313 ext 303  
Email: claude.s.whartong@gmail.com

10. Ms. Erana Aliklik NBSAP Project Officer CIE  
Government Office, Yaren District  
Republic of Nauru

New Caledonia
11. Ms. Nathalie Baillon  
Director  
Natural Species Conservatory of New Caledonia (CEN) New Caledonia  
Tel: +47 7700 / 90 63 25  
Email: dircen@cen.nc

Niue
12. Mr. Poi Kapaga Manager Treasury  
Niue  
Tel: +683 4047  
Email: poi.kapaga@mail.gov.nu
13. Mr. Haden Talagi  
Research Development Officer and PACC Coordinator – Niue  
Department of Environment  
PO Box 80  
Fonuakula, Alofi  
Niue  
Tel: +683 4021 / 4011  
Mob: +683 5277  
Email: h_talagi@mail.nu

**Palau**

14. Mr. Ngiratmetuchel Reagan Belechl  
Chief Financial Officer  
Office of Environmental Response and Coordination  
PO Box 6051  
Koror  
Palau 96940  
Tel: +680 448-4411  
Fax: +680 488-6919  
Email: nrbeleehl@gmail.com or oerc2009@gmail.com

15. Mr. Jeff Ngirarsaol  
Grant Coordinator  
Office of Budget and Grants Oversight Office of the President of Republic of Palau  
PO Box 6051  
Koror  
Palau 96940  
Tel: +680 767-9591  
Fax: +680 767-8638  
Email: purepalau09@gmail.com and ropgrant@palaugov.net

**Papua New Guinea**

16. Mr. Joe Pokana  
Chair – MR V TWG, PNG UNFCC Negotiator and SNC Focal Point  
Senior Policy Analyst – MR V/ MR V and National Communication Division  
Office of Climate change and Development  
1st Floor, Tabari Haus, Tabri Place, Reke St. Boroko CBC  
PO Box 4017, Boroko 111, NCD Papua New Guinea  
Tel: +675 325 7528  
Fax: +675 325 7620  
Email: joe.pokana@occd.gov.pg or jnpokana@gmail.com

**Solomon Islands**

17. Ms. Susan Sulu  
Director  
Aid Coordination Division  
Ministry of Development Planning and Aid Coordination  
PO Box G30  
Honiara Solomon Islands  
Tel: +677 38255  
Fax: +677 30490  
Email: ssulu@planning.gov.sb
**Tonga**

18. Ms. Luisa Tuiafitu-Malolo  
Team Leader  
Tonga JNAP Secretariat  
Ministry of Lands, Environment, Climate Change and Natural Resources  
PO Box 917  
Nuku’alofa  
Tonga  
Tel: +676 27262 / 25050  
Fax: +676 25051  
Email: ituiafitumalolo@gmail.com

19. Ms. Ofa Maási Kaisamy  
Technical Officer  
Ministry of Lands, Environment and Climate Change  
PO Box 917  
JNAP Secretariat  
Tel: +676 840 5137  
Email: okaisamy@gmail.com

**Tuvalu**

20. Ms. Pepetua Laatasi  
Acting Director of Environment  
Department of Environment  
Government of Tuvalu  
Private Mail Bag  
Funafuti  
Tuvalu  
Tel: +688 20179  
Email: pepetua@gmail.com or platasi@gov.tv

**Vanuatu**

21. Mr. Brian Phillips  
Climate Change Coordinator and Focal Point  
Vanuatu Meteorology & Geo-hazards  
Department PMB 9054  
Port Vila  
Vanuatu  
Tel: +678 774-4388  
Fax: +678 25745  
Email: piccap@vanuatu.com.vu

22. Mr. Sylvain Kalsakau  
Acting Head of United Nations Division  
Department of Foreign Affairs  
PMB 9051  
Port Vila  
Vanuatu  
Tel: +678 22913 / 533-3870  
Fax: +678 23142  
Email: ksylvain@vanuatu.gov.vu
INTERNATIONAL & REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS: (NO TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS NEEDED)

Australian AID (AusAID)
PO Box 214, Suva, Fiji
Tel: +679 338-8360, Fax: +679 338-2695, Website: www.ausaid.gov.au

23. Mr. John Morley
First Secretary
Environment and Climate Change
Email: john.morley@ausaid.gov.au
European Union for the Pacific (EU)

24. Ms. Annick Villarosa
Delegation of the European Union for the Pacific Head of Sector National Resources and Environment Suva Fiji
Tel: +679 331 3633 ext 104
Fax: +679 330 070
Email: annick.villarosa@eeas.europa.eu

Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES)
25. Ms. Puja Sawhney
Coordinator of the Regional Hub for Asia Pacific Climate Change Adaptation Network (APAN) Institute for Global Environmental Strategies
604 SG Tower 6F, 161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3 Rajdamri Road, Patumwan, Bangkok, 10330, Thailand
Tel: +66 (0) 2 651 8797 ext 16
Fax: +66 (0) 2 651 8798
Email: sawhney@iges.or.jp

New Zealand Aid (NZAid)
26. Mr. Roger Duncan
Climate Change Policy Officer
Environment Division
New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade
Tel: +64 493 8404
Email: roger.duncan@mfat.govt.nz

Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (PIFS)
27. Ms. Coral Pasisi
Regional and International Issues Adviser
Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat
Private Mail Bag
Suva
Fiji
Tel: +679 775 8612
Email: coralp@forumsec.org.fj
28. Mr. Exsley Taloiburi  
Climate Change Coordination Officer  Economic Infrastructure Adviser  
Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat  
Tel: +679 322 0281  
Email: exsleyt@forumsec.org.fj

29. Mr. Ryan Medrana  
Climate Change Adviser  
Climate Change Financing Project  Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat  
Private Mail Bag  
Suva  
Fiji  
Tel: +679 331 2600  
Email: ryanm@forumsec.org.fj

**Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC)**  
30. Dr. Gillian Chambers  
Project Manager  
Global Climate Change Alliance Pacific Islands States  
Secretariat of the Pacific Community  
3 Luke Street, Nabua  
Suva  
Fiji  
Tel: +679 777 7150  
Email: gillianc@spc.int

31. Mr. Sanivalati Tubuna  
Research and Programme Assistant  
Strategic Engagement, Policy and Planning Facility  
Secretariat of the Pacific Community  
3 Luke Street, Nabua  
Suva  
Fiji  
Tel: +679 337 9438  
Email: sanivalati@spc.int

**Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)**  
PO Box 240, Apia, Samoa  
Tel: +685 21929 Fax: +685 20241 Website: www.sprep.org

32. Mr. Espen Ronneberg Climate Change Adviser  
Email: espenr@sprep.org

33. Ms. Diane McFadzien  
Climate Change Adaptation Adviser  
Email: dianem@sprep.org
34. Ms. Seema Deo  
Communications and Outreach Adviser  
Email: seemad@sprep.org

35. Mr. Andrew Kennedy  
Legal Intern  
Email: andrewk@sprep.org

36. Mr. Tim Carruthers  
Coastal and Marine Adviser  
Email: timc@sprepl.org

37. Mr. Aaron Buncle  
Environmental Resource Economist  
Email: aaronb@sprep.org

38. Ms. Tagaloa Cooper  
Climate Change Coordination Adviser  
Email: tagaloac@sprep.org

39. Ms. Azarel Mariner  
Climate Change Technical Assistant  
Email: azarelm@sprep.org

40. Ms. Joyce Tulua  
Division Assistant/Secretary to Director of Climate Change  
Email: joycet@sprep.org

**United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)**

41. Ms. Marta Moneo  
Environment, Climate Change and Crisis Prevention and Recovery (UNV) United Nations Development Programme  
Private Mail Bag  
Apia  
Samoa  
Tel: +685 23670  
Fax: +685 23555  
Email: marta.moneo@undp.org

42. Ms. Mariana Simililes  
Email: marianas@sprep.org

43. Mr. Gabor Verczi  
Email: gabor.vereczi@undp.org
UNESCO
44. Mr. Denis Chang Seng
Programme Specialist/Advisor UNESCO
Private Mail Bag
Matautu Uta
Apia
Samoa
Tel: +685 729 50045
Email: d.chang-seng@unesco.org

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)
45. Dr. Greg Sherley
Task Manager Biodiversity Conservation
United Nations Environment Programme
Private Mail Bag
Matautu Uta, Apia
Samoa
Tel: +685 27 473 / 23670
Fax: +685 23555
Email: greg.sherley@undp.org

46. Ms. Anouk Mertens
Email: anouk.mertens@undp.org

CONSULTANTS
47. Ms. Nicola Thomson
Environmental Consultant Natural Solutions Pacific
30 Service Street, Domain
Suva
Fiji
Mob: +679 992 - 3182
Email: Nicola@environmentfiji.com
Asia Pacific Adaptation Network (APAN)
IGES Bangkok Regional Centre
604 SG Tower, 6th floor
161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3,
Ratchadamri Road, Pathumwan,
Bangkok 10330, Thailand
Tel: +66 (0)2 651 8794-99
Fax: +66 (0)2 651 8798
e-mail: info@asiapacificadapt.net
Website: www.asiapacificadapt.net